

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/510,218	HAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rudy Zervigon	1763

All Participants:

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Nancy Connel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2007

Time: 17:17

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mrs. Connel returned my phone call affirming Applicant's intention to abandon the application. Note: a paper in *11*510218 filed 2/1/7 is, as of the date of this action, scanned into this file record. The Examiner has requested clarification of the scanning error today 7/31. No response to the 1/31/7 action was made in the case..